Incidental Diagnosis of Primary Fallopian Tube Carcinoma at Vaginal Hysterectomy and Bilateral Salpingooophorectomy in a Patient with Uterine Prolapse and No Macroscopically Pathological Findings on Ovaries and Tuba Uterine
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Abstract

A 52-year old woman with uterine prolapse who was diagnosed as primary fallopian adenocarcinoma following pathological evaluation of the vaginal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingooophorectomy specimen was presented. After adenocarcinoma diagnosis, the patient had undergone pelvic, paraaortic lymph node dissection, peritoneal washing, peritoneal sample biopsy, omentectomy and appendectomy. She was staged as FIGO IIIC because of two positive lymph nodes out of 35 lymph nodes.

We suggest transvaginal ultrasonography and elective oophorectomy to be performed in all postmenopausal patients undergoing hysterectomy for the possibility of gynecologic malignancies.
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Primary fallopian tube carcinoma represents less than 1% of all gynecological malignancies.1,2 Most common presenting symptoms are perimenopausal or postmenopausal bleeding or amber coloured vaginal discharge and abdominal pain.

However, a minority of cases are asymptomatic and diagnosis is only possible after surgical removal of the tube. Because of the rarity and unusual presentation of this tumor, there is no consensus as to the optimum diagnostic management.

In this case, we presented a case with primary carcinoma of the fallopian tube diagnosed incidentally at vaginal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy.

Case

A 52-year old, gravida 5, para 3 woman presented with uterine prolapse. She was menopausal
for 3 years. She did not have a history of either vaginal discharge or vaginal bleeding or chronic salpingitis. In physical examination the patient was found to be normal. In gynecological examination the patient had a second degree uterine prolapse. The uterus and adnexa were not palpable. The transvaginal ultrasonographic evaluation revealed no pathology. The Papaniculou smears was negative. The patient was not investigated for Ca125 levels before the operation.

Vaginal hysterectomy with elective bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy were performed. The tubes and ovaries seemed macroscopically normal. The definitive histopathological diagnosis was right tubal serous adenocarcinoma (Figure 1). After adenocarcinoma diagnosis, the patient had undergone a second operation with pelvic, paraaortic lymph node dissections, peritoneal washing, sampling, omentectomy and appendectomy.

She was staged as FIGO IIIC, because of two positive lymph nodes out of 35 lymph nodes. Six cycles of paclitaxel (175 mg/m²) plus cisplatin (75mg/ m²) combination chemotherapy were administered with 3-week intervals between cycles.

**Discussion**

The fallopian tube carcinomas account for 0.15-1.9% of all gynecological cancers.¹⁻⁵ Most common presenting symptoms of patients with fallopian tube carcinoma are abdominal pain and abnormal vaginal discharge or bleeding, and the most common finding is an adnexal mass.⁶⁻¹⁰ However a minority of patients are asymptomatic and diagnosis is only possible after operation.⁷⁻¹⁰ Low parity, late menopause and chronic salpingitis have often been found to be associated with this malignancy.⁹ Diagnosis is usually confirmed at the time of operation or by the pathologist on histopathologic examination.⁵

A few investigators have reported tubal carcinoma with micrometastasis at the ovary of the same side following vaginal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingectomy in their series.¹¹⁻¹²

Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy is not routinely performed in vaginal hysterectomy cases unless adnexial pathology is suspected. The reason may be technical difficulties for safety removal of the tubes and ovaries. When the ovaries and tubes are removed vaginally, it is difficult to peritonealize the infundibulopelvic ligament which is a distinct disadvantage.¹³ Absolute hemostasis should be maintained. In a prospective study by Davies et al, the feasibility and safety of vaginal removal of ovaries at the time of vaginal hysterectomy was assessed and no disadvantage was reported other than operation time.¹⁴ The investigators proposed that the need to perform oophorectomy should not be considered a contraindication to vaginal hysterectomy.

Stage of the disease at the time of diagnosis is the most important factor affecting prognosis and 5-year survival rate in patients with fallopian tube carcinoma.⁳⁻⁹ Therefore early diagnosis is very important.

Considering the unusual presentation and difficulty in preoperative diagnosis of primary fallopian tubal carcinoma cases, we recommend transvaginal ultrasonography and elective oophorectomy to be performed in all postmenopausal patients undergoing hysterectomy for the possible diagnosis of gynecologic malignancies.

However, for definite conclusions about the effectiveness of elective oophorectomy in fallopian tube malignancies, more studies with larger number of cases are mandatory.

**Figure 1.** Microscopic findings demonstrating carcinoma in situ of the right fallopian tube (Hematoxylin-eosin stain, original magnification X400).
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